## Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

	TRAVERSE	District FY03 EQIP
1.	List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:	
Wa	ater Quality, Soil Erosion, Feedlot Improvements, Lake Trav	erse, Filter strips,

Buffers, and the Little Minnnesota River.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

## Entire county to have equal opportunity for funding.

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

	Resource	
Factor	Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	High	10
A2 Gully Control	Med	5
B1 Water Resource	High	10
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	High	8
C Habitat Improvement	Med	5
D Air Quality	Low	2
E Impaired Water	Low	1
F Distance	Med	5
G Grazing System	High	8
H Forest Mgt.	Low	1
Additional Local*	None	0

<sup>\*</sup> If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

- 4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.
- 5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

## **NONE**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 03 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

Chair, Local Work Group	Date